1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney JOANNE HOEPER, State Bar #114961 Chief Trial Deputy JAMES M. EMERY, State Bar #153630 Deputy City Attorney Fox Plaza 1390 Market Street, Sixth Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408 Talenhoner (415) 554 4261	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Telephone: (415) 554-4261 Facsimile: (415) 554-3837	
7	E-Mail: jim.emery@sfgov.org	
8	Attorneys for Defemdant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
9	CITT AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	CRAIG YATES,	Case No. C07-4087 EDL
14	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO'S JOINDER IN <i>EX</i>
15	vs.	PARTE APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
16	UNION SQUARE; CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; CITY OF SAN	RESPONSE TO CIVIL COMPLAINT
17	FRANCISCO UPTOWN PARKING CORPORATION; EMPORIO RULLI IL	[Local Civil Rules 6-3, 7-10]
18	CAFFE UNION SQ.; EMPORIO RULLI IL CAFFE UNION SQ., INC.; and DOES	
19	1 through 50, Inclusive,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22	Defendant City and County of San Francisco ("the City") hereby joins San Francisco Uptown	
23	Parking Corporation's ("Uptown Parking") ex parte application for extension of time to respond to	
24	plaintiff's complaint in this action. The additional time is necessary to allow defendants to evaluate	
25	the case, and to process conflict waivers for counsel. Defendants intend that Mr. Orlick will represent	
26	both Uptown Parking and the City in this matter. Defendants, however, require additional time to	
27	process the necessary conflict waivers before Mr. Orlick may appear for the City. The time required	
28		

1 for processing the conflict waiver in this case is routine, and simply reflects the multiple internal 2 approvals necessary for processing the waivers, especially in light of the vacation schedule of key 3 City personnel in August, including Marisa Moret, Managing Attorney of the San Francisco City 4 Attorney's Office, whose duties include analysis and assessment of all requests for conflict waivers. 5 Ms. Moret was on vacation the last two weeks of August. 6 The requested 30-day extension will provide the City the time it needs to retain litigation 7 counsel. The requested extension will promote efficiency and the conservation of judicial resources. 8 The case will inevitably be more streamlined if two defendants are represented by a single counsel, 9 coordinating defendants' response to plaintiff's complaint with a single motion or answer. 10 For the foregoing reasons, the City joins Uptown Parking's request for a 30-day extension to 11 respond to plaintiff's complaint. 12 13 DATED: September 6, 2007 DENNIS J. HERRERA 14 City Attorney JOANNE HÖEPER 15 Chief Trial Deputy 16 17 <u>/</u>S/ By:_ JAMES M. EMERY 18 Deputy City Attorney 19 Attorneys for Defendant City and County of San Francisco 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28